

Fifth: Your petitioner further says that as will appear from the proceedings in this cause, the said Florence Jeanette Loots died intestate, and your petitioner alleges that there has been no administration on her estate, and no notice to creditors given, and your petitioner further says that the said G. W. Charles Loots died intestate and there has been no administration in his estate, and no notice to creditors given, and that by the provisions of Section <sup>114</sup>~~95~~ of Article <sup>93</sup>~~114~~ of the Code of Public General Laws of Maryland, no purchaser of real estate is required to accept title thereto, unless such notice has been <sup>and</sup> given until the period of the filing of claims has expired.

Sixth: Your petitioner further says that as will appear from the proceedings in this cause, the real estate sold herein was conveyed by G. W. Charles Loots to Florence Jeanette Loots, by deed bearing date on the twentieth day of March, 1900, and that the said Florence Jeanette Loots, wife of the said G. W. Charles Loots, died intestate and without issue, on the twenty-second day of February, 1919; and that upon her death, a one-half undivided interest in and to the said real estate descended to the said G. W. Charles Loots, her surviving husband, as heir, under the laws of the State of Maryland, and that upon the death of the said G. W. Charles Loots intestate and without issue, on the first day of March, 1932, the one-half undivided interest which descended to him upon the death of his wife, the said Florence Jeanette Loots, further descended to his heirs at law; that the Trustees in this cause are attempting to ignore the interest of the heirs of the said G. W. Charles Loots, and that your petitioner, while desirous of obtaining the said property and willing to carry out the agreement of purchase filed herein, provided the Trustees are able to convey to your petitioner a good and marketable title to the said real estate, object to the title which the said Trustees herein can convey, and your petitioner further says that for the reasons aforesaid, the